



Intensive In-home Services
Annual Report
Fiscal Year 2010

Research and Evaluation
December 2012



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, INTERIM DIRECTOR

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

CANDACE A. SHIVELY, DIRECTOR

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2010. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2010 (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI

FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED

1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.

Table of Contents

Families and Children Referred and Served	1
Table 1 Families Referred for IIS	2
Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted	4
Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into IIS	6
Table 4 Families Accepted by Referral Source Code	8
Table 5 Families and Children Accepted by Month	10
Table 6 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages	10
Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention	11
Table 8 Duration of IIS Intervention by Site	11
Table 9 Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables	14
 Heads of Household Served	 16
Table 10 Head of Household Age for Families Accepted	17
Table 11 Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted	19
Table 12 Head of Household Race for Families Accepted	21
Table 13 Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted	25
Table 14 Head of Household Income for Families Accepted	29
Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	33
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables	34
 At-risk Children Served	 35
Table 16 Age of At-risk Children Accepted	36
Table 17 Gender of At-risk Children Accepted	38
Table 18 Race of At-risk Children Accepted	40
Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category	44
Table 20 At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented	48
Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	52
Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables	53
 Outcomes	 54
Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting Previous 4 FYs	55
Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider	55
Table 24 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider Chart	55
Table 25 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report	56
Table 26 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and CA/N Report Chart	56
Table 27 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1	57
Table 28 At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider and Entry into Legal Status 1 Chart	57
Notes: Outcomes Tables	58

Intensive In-home Services

**Families and Children Referred and Served
During FY 2010**

Table 1
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	167	37.0%	282	62.5%	2	.4%	451
	* SITE TOTAL *	167	37.0%	282	62.5%	2	.4%	451
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	313	66.6%	154	32.8%	3	.6%	470
	ST LOUIS CITY	199	62.4%	119	37.3%	1	.3%	319
	* SITE TOTAL *	512	64.9%	273	34.6%	4	.5%	789
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	.0%	31
	BOONE	29	76.3%	9	23.7%	0	.0%	38
	CALLAWAY	21	70.0%	9	30.0%	0	.0%	30
	COLE	25	75.8%	8	24.2%	0	.0%	33
	HOWARD	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	MONTGOMERY	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	0	.0%	20
	WARREN	22	61.1%	14	38.9%	0	.0%	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	134	65.4%	71	34.6%	0	.0%	205
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	ATCHISON	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	24	45.3%	29	54.7%	0	.0%	53
	CALDWELL	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	DAVIESS	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	DE KALB	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	HARRISON	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	HOLT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	LINN	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	0	.0%	18
	LIVINGSTON	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	13
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	13
	PUTNAM	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8
	SULLIVAN	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	0	.0%	10
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	48.6%	95	51.4%	0	.0%	185
SITE 735	CARTER	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	CRAWFORD	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	0	.0%	17
	DENT	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	8
	HOWELL	11	35.5%	20	64.5%	0	.0%	31
	IRON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	MARIES	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	15	48.4%	16	51.6%	0	.0%	31
	PULASKI	9	24.3%	28	75.7%	0	.0%	37
	REYNOLDS	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	SHANNON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	1	5.6%	16	88.9%	1	5.6%	18
	WAYNE	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	59	33.5%	116	65.9%	1	.6%	176
SITE 736	BATES	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	15
	BENTON	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	CAMDEN	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	0	.0%	21
	DALLAS	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	0	.0%	9
	HENRY	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	11
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	13	31.7%	28	68.3%	0	.0%	41
	MILLER	11	37.9%	18	62.1%	0	.0%	29
	MONITEAU	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	MORGAN	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	0	.0%	12
	POLK	7	33.3%	14	66.7%	0	.0%	21
	ST CLAIR	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	19	46.3%	22	53.7%	0	.0%	41
	* SITE TOTAL *	93	41.9%	129	58.1%	0	.0%	222
SITE 737	BARTON	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	CEDAR	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	DADE	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	JASPER	36	24.8%	109	75.2%	0	.0%	145
	MCDONALD	14	20.0%	56	80.0%	0	.0%	70
	NEWTON	25	21.6%	91	78.4%	0	.0%	116
	VERNON	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	93	25.4%	273	74.6%	0	.0%	366

Table 1 (continued)
Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	CHRISTIAN	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	28
	DOUGLAS	12	35.3%	22	64.7%	0	.0%	34
	LAWRENCE	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	20
	OZARK	2	16.7%	10	83.3%	0	.0%	12
	STONE	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	0	.0%	17
	TANEY	44	55.7%	35	44.3%	0	.0%	79
	WRIGHT	9	29.0%	22	71.0%	0	.0%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	45.5%	128	54.5%	0	.0%	235
SITE 739	GREENE	133	38.4%	213	61.6%	0	.0%	346
	* SITE TOTAL *	133	38.4%	213	61.6%	0	.0%	346
SITE 831	LINCOLN	17	30.9%	38	69.1%	0	.0%	55
	MARION	11	39.3%	17	60.7%	0	.0%	28
	MONROE	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	PIKE	5	29.4%	12	70.6%	0	.0%	17
	RALLS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	24	27.0%	65	73.0%	0	.0%	89
	* SITE TOTAL *	63	31.3%	138	68.7%	0	.0%	201
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	60.7%	11	39.3%	0	.0%	28
	CLARK	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	0	.0%	15
	KNOX	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	LEWIS	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	MACON	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	SCHUYLER	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	SCOTLAND	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	14
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	57.7%	44	42.3%	0	.0%	104
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	30.2%	37	69.8%	0	.0%	53
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	88	52.1%	81	47.9%	0	.0%	169
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	109	48.0%	118	52.0%	0	.0%	227
SITE 933	CASS	14	26.4%	39	73.6%	0	.0%	53
	COOPER	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	0	.0%	15
	JOHNSON	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	21
	PETTIS	29	65.9%	15	34.1%	0	.0%	44
	* SITE TOTAL *	66	49.6%	67	50.4%	0	.0%	133
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	24	60.0%	16	40.0%	0	.0%	40
	LAFAYETTE	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	13
	RAY	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	7
	SALINE	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	50	57.5%	37	42.5%	0	.0%	87
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	22.2%	13	72.2%	1	5.6%	18
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	29	43.9%	37	56.1%	0	.0%	66
	MADISON	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	13
	MISSISSIPPI	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	5
	PERRY	11	29.7%	24	64.9%	2	5.4%	37
	ST FRANCOIS	34	59.6%	23	40.4%	0	.0%	57
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTT	6	33.3%	12	66.7%	0	.0%	18
	WASHINGTON	10	38.5%	16	61.5%	0	.0%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	43.9%	135	54.9%	3	1.2%	246
SITE 936	BUTLER	14	37.8%	23	62.2%	0	.0%	37
	DUNKLIN	15	28.3%	38	71.7%	0	.0%	53
	NEW MADRID	8	42.1%	10	52.6%	1	5.3%	19
	PEMISCOT	11	33.3%	22	66.7%	0	.0%	33
	RIPLEY	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	13
	STODDARD	9	13.8%	56	86.2%	0	.0%	65
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	29.1%	155	70.5%	1	.5%	220
STATE TOTAL		1,908	45.5%	2,274	54.2%	11	.3%	4,193

Table 2
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010

		Reason Not Accepted Codes																		
SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL		
SITE 731	JACKSON	44	11	8	14	40	0	1	263	0	3	0	0	5	13	0	56	458		
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	11	8	14	40	0	1	263	0	3	0	0	5	13	0	56	458		
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	17	3	23	17	33	3	3	83	1	0	0	1	5	13	0	67	269		
	ST LOUIS CITY	6	2	22	10	41	0	7	69	0	0	0	0	2	12	0	29	200		
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	5	45	27	74	3	10	152	1	0	0	1	7	25	0	96	469		
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	28		
	BOONE	0	12	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	20		
	CALLAWAY	3	6	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18		
	COLE	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	13		
	HOWARD	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
	MONTGOMERY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3		
	RANDOLPH	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	13		
	WARREN	1	12	1	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	23		
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	32	9	10	8	0	0	39	0	0	1	3	0	5	0	10	125		
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	BUCHANAN	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	45		
	CALDWELL	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		
	CHARITON	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5		
	CLINTON	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10		
	DAVIESS	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6		
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	GENTRY	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
	GRUNDY	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		
	HARRISON	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
	HOLT	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	LINN	0	7	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16		
	LIVINGSTON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	10		
	NODAWAY	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11		
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12		
	SULLIVAN	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13		
* SITE TOTAL *	6	33	20	10	4	0	3	72	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	7	160		
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
	CRAWFORD	0	5	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	16		
	DENT	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14		
	HOWELL	2	22	6	5	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	46		
	IRON	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	8		
	MARIES	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
	PHELPS	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31		
	PULASKI	3	2	4	0	0	0	2	26	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	40		
	REYNOLDS	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8		
	SHANNON	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4		
	TEXAS	1	3	4	2	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24		
	WAYNE	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	54	17	11	0	3	4	88	1	0	0	4	4	0	0	12	206		
SITE 736	BATES	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8		
	BENTON	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
	CAMDEN	0	11	1	0	3	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27		
	DALLAS	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	16		
	HENRY	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10		
	LACLEDE	0	11	1	3	4	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	58		
	MILLER	1	1	5	0	0	2	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27		
	MONITEAU	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
	MORGAN	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19		
	POLK	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	22		
	WEBSTER	0	1	5	1	2	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	22		
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	39	25	12	12	2	3	108	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	5	221		
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9		
	CEDAR	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19		
	DADE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3		
	JASPER	2	6	11	12	56	7	0	73	0	3	0	0	7	8	0	13	198		
	MCDONALD	3	5	7	0	9	0	2	80	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	113		
	NEWTON	0	8	5	4	0	0	1	149	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	3	178		
	VERNON	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8		
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	35	26	16	65	7	4	316	0	3	0	0	21	10	0	20	528		

Table 2 (continued)
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010

		Reason Not Accepted Codes																	
SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	16	
	CHRISTIAN	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	39	
	DOUGLAS	0	10	2	1	1	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	31	
	LAWRENCE	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	18	
	OZARK	0	1	0	5	1	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
	STONE	0	11	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	21	
	TANEY	2	27	1	0	3	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	11	75	
	WRIGHT	0	28	1	1	4	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	46	
* SITE TOTAL *	2	94	5	10	13	1	4	83	0	0	0	0	25	2	0	27	266		
SITE 739	GREENE	6	14	3	2	12	1	3	159	0	0	0	6	132	6	0	10	354	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	14	3	2	12	1	3	159	0	0	0	6	132	6	0	10	354	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	23	15	1	2	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	60	
	MARION	0	12	4	2	7	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	
	MONROE	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	PIKE	0	3	15	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	27	
	RALLS	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	ST CHARLES	1	20	34	3	5	1	1	27	0	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	99	
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	66	68	6	14	1	5	53	1	1	0	0	3	5	0	2	229	
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	6	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
	CLARK	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	MACON	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	SHELBY	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
* SITE TOTAL *	1	16	1	2	3	3	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61		
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	16	2	3	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	18	69	
	JEFFERSON	3	7	6	6	9	0	0	84	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	133	
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	23	8	9	9	0	0	110	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	35	202	
SITE 933	CASS	0	16	2	0	3	0	0	31	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	58	
	COOPER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	JOHNSON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
	PETTIS	0	2	0	3	4	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	23	
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	19	2	3	7	0	0	67	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	108	
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	CLAY	0	11	2	1	3	0	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	42	
	LAFAYETTE	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	PLATTE	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	13	
	RAY	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	
	SALINE	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	15	2	3	13	0	8	27	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	84	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	0	0	2	3	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	62	
	MADISON	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	
	PERRY	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	53	
	ST FRANCOIS	1	17	0	0	0	0	2	8	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	36	
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	SCOTT	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	18	
	WASHINGTON	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	27	
* SITE TOTAL *	39	36	0	5	7	0	2	131	2	1	0	7	0	4	0	14	248		
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	15	1	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	43	
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	7	73	
	NEW MADRID	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
	PEMISCOT	3	4	0	1	0	2	0	35	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	1	52	
	RIPLEY	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	
	STODDARD	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	79	2	2	0	0	0	3	0	17	105	
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	24	4	1	3	2	0	220	2	2	0	0	6	5	0	35	307	
STATE TOTAL		168	516	243	141	284	23	47	1,923	9	10	1	28	209	88	0	336	4,026	

Table 3
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	159	460	2.89	363	2.28
	* SITE TOTAL *	159	460	2.89	363	2.28
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	300	781	2.60	532	1.77
	ST LOUIS CITY	190	557	2.93	385	2.03
	* SITE TOTAL *	490	1,338	2.73	917	1.87
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	40	4.00	22	2.20
	BOONE	25	67	2.68	50	2.00
	CALLAWAY	19	42	2.21	34	1.79
	COLE	25	64	2.56	51	2.04
	HOWARD	1	4	4.00	1	1.00
	MONTGOMERY	8	20	2.50	10	1.25
	RANDOLPH	12	31	2.58	25	2.08
	WARREN	23	59	2.57	40	1.74
	* SITE TOTAL *	123	327	2.66	233	1.89
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	19	4.75	13	3.25
	BUCHANAN	18	50	2.78	37	2.06
	CALDWELL	2	7	3.50	7	3.50
	CHARITON	7	13	1.86	11	1.57
	CLINTON	5	8	1.60	7	1.40
	DAVISS	3	6	2.00	5	1.67
	DE KALB	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	GENTRY	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	GRUNDY	4	17	4.25	14	3.50
	HARRISON	2	6	3.00	5	2.50
	LINN	11	27	2.45	23	2.09
	LIVINGSTON	8	29	3.63	23	2.88
	MERCER	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	NODAWAY	6	15	2.50	10	1.67
	PUTNAM	4	8	2.00	7	1.75
	SULLIVAN	3	7	2.33	7	2.33
	WORTH	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	223	2.72	180	2.20
SITE 735	CARTER	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	CRAWFORD	8	28	3.50	19	2.38
	DENT	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	HOWELL	11	32	2.91	29	2.64
	IRON	4	12	3.00	7	1.75
	MARIES	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	OREGON	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	PHELPS	13	30	2.31	18	1.38
	PULASKI	8	20	2.50	15	1.88
	REYNOLDS	2	5	2.50	3	1.50
	TEXAS	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	WAYNE	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	146	2.70	110	2.04
SITE 736	BATES	12	31	2.58	22	1.83
	BENTON	8	23	2.88	10	1.25
	CAMDEN	9	25	2.78	20	2.22
	DALLAS	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	HENRY	2	4	2.00	2	1.00
	HICKORY	4	5	1.25	5	1.25
	LACLEDE	13	32	2.46	27	2.08
	MILLER	10	19	1.90	14	1.40
	MONITEAU	3	8	2.67	5	1.67
	MORGAN	4	9	2.25	6	1.50
	POLK	7	16	2.29	12	1.71
	ST CLAIR	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	WEBSTER	17	34	2.00	29	1.71
	* SITE TOTAL *	93	214	2.30	160	1.72
SITE 737	BARTON	6	13	2.17	13	2.17
	CEDAR	5	9	1.80	6	1.20
	DADE	2	3	1.50	2	1.00
	JASPER	36	79	2.19	67	1.86
	MCDONALD	14	38	2.71	32	2.29
	NEWTON	22	50	2.27	49	2.23
	VERNON	6	13	2.17	12	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	91	205	2.25	181	1.99

Table 3 (continued)
Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services
During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 738	BARRY	8	24	3.00	20	2.50
	CHRISTIAN	11	22	2.00	15	1.36
	DOUGLAS	13	27	2.08	17	1.31
	LAWRENCE	11	33	3.00	19	1.73
	OZARK	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	STONE	10	33	3.30	32	3.20
	TANEY	44	104	2.36	85	1.93
	WRIGHT	9	21	2.33	17	1.89
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	265	2.48	206	1.93
SITE 739	GREENE	131	293	2.24	262	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	131	293	2.24	262	2.00
SITE 831	LINCOLN	17	45	2.65	29	1.71
	MARION	11	27	2.45	18	1.64
	MONROE	3	9	3.00	9	3.00
	PIKE	5	10	2.00	7	1.40
	RALLS	3	9	3.00	6	2.00
	ST CHARLES	25	57	2.28	33	1.32
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	157	2.45	102	1.59
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	38	2.24	30	1.76
	CLARK	9	19	2.11	13	1.44
	KNOX	3	3	1.00	3	1.00
	LEWIS	8	23	2.88	20	2.50
	MACON	9	27	3.00	19	2.11
	SCHUYLER	6	20	3.33	16	2.67
	SCOTLAND	6	17	2.83	8	1.33
	SHELBY	6	15	2.50	8	1.33
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	162	2.53	117	1.83
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	39	2.44	36	2.25
	GASCONADE	4	7	1.75	6	1.50
	JEFFERSON	87	214	2.46	177	2.03
	OSAGE	2	7	3.50	2	1.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	109	267	2.45	221	2.03
SITE 933	CASS	13	30	2.31	27	2.08
	COOPER	7	17	2.43	11	1.57
	JOHNSON	16	44	2.75	35	2.19
	PETTIS	28	72	2.57	47	1.68
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	163	2.55	120	1.88
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	7	3.50	4	2.00
	CLAY	26	68	2.62	54	2.08
	LAFAYETTE	8	16	2.00	14	1.75
	PLATTE	8	21	2.63	11	1.38
	RAY	3	9	3.00	5	1.67
	SALINE	7	17	2.43	13	1.86
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	138	2.56	101	1.87
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	13	3.25	10	2.50
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	27	72	2.67	56	2.07
	MADISON	8	24	3.00	15	1.88
	MISSISSIPPI	1	2	2.00	1	1.00
	PERRY	11	31	2.82	30	2.73
	ST FRANCOIS	33	88	2.67	49	1.48
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	11	2.75	4	1.00
	SCOTT	6	19	3.17	14	2.33
	WASHINGTON	10	36	3.60	26	2.60
	* SITE TOTAL *	104	296	2.85	205	1.97
SITE 936	BUTLER	15	40	2.67	34	2.27
	DUNKLIN	15	46	3.07	37	2.47
	NEW MADRID	8	20	2.50	20	2.50
	PEMISCOT	11	32	2.91	30	2.73
	RIPLEY	8	24	3.00	22	2.75
	STODDARD	6	10	1.67	10	1.67
	* SITE TOTAL *	63	172	2.73	153	2.43
STATE TOTAL		1,852	4,826	2.61	3,631	1.96

Table 4
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	2	0	0	152	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	2	0	0	152	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	36	1	0	231	0	1	14	0	14	0	2	0	0	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	26	2	4	143	0	7	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	62	3	4	374	0	8	15	0	19	0	3	0	0	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	10
	BOONE	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	25
	CALLAWAY	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	COLE	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	114	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	18
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	DAVIESS	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LINN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	77	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	DENT	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	IRON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS	0	1	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	50	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	54
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LACLEDE	1	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MONTEAU	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	POLK	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	1	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	93
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	DADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	36
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	22
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	91

Table 4 (continued)
Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/ FRIEND	SELF	IN- PATIENT DMH CPS	OUT- PATIENT DMH CPS	DSS CD	DSS DYS	PRIVATE MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	LAW EN- FORCE- MENT	COURT	DSS FSD	JUVENILE OFFICER	OTHER	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	11
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	STONE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	44
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	107
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	MARION	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	ST CHARLES	1	0	0	0	21	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0	0	0	57	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	MACON	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	JEFFERSON	0	1	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	0	0	107	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	109
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	13
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	8
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	RAY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	1	20	0	3	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	1	1	5	80	0	4	1	0	0	0	12	0	0	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	15
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	63
STATE TOTAL		4	69	4	10	1,644	0	16	17	1	25	1	61	0	0	1,852

Table 5
Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010
Families and Children Accepted by Month

	Families	At-risk Children	Not At-risk Children	Total Children
July 2009	160	312	107	419
August 2009	137	275	83	358
September 2009	170	325	102	427
October 2009	149	317	88	405
November 2009	140	269	98	367
December 2009	165	306	89	395
January 2010	145	286	103	389
February 2010	141	275	104	379
March 2010	172	357	124	481
April 2010	186	354	118	472
May 2010	133	258	87	345
June 2010	154	297	92	389
State Total	1,852	3,631	1,195	4,826

Table 6
Intensive In-home Services during FY 2010
Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted Percentages

	Number	Percent
Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured	1,923	47.76%
No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	516	12.81%
Missing	336	8.34%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	284	7.05%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	243	6.03%
Court Not in Agreement	209	5.19%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	168	4.17%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	141	3.50%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	88	2.18%
Unable to Contact Family	47	1.16%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	28	0.69%
Child Unwilling to Participate	23	0.57%
Therapist`s Safety Cannot be Assured	10	0.24%
Child is Physically Assaultive	9	0.22%
Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement	1	0.02%
State Total	4,026	100.00%

Of the 1,852 families accepted into IIS during FY 2010, 1,745 families had a total of 2,483 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

Table 7
Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention
during FY 2010

	Number	Percent
Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,642	66.12%
Juvenile Court	284	11.43%
Adult Law Enforcement	248	9.98%
Dept of Mental Health	149	6.00%
Adult Criminal Court	116	4.67%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	44	1.77%
Total Contacts	2,483	100.00%

Average Duration of FY 2010 IIS Interventions is 5.05 Weeks.

Table 8
Duration of IIS Intervention by Site
for Families Accepted during FY 2010

	Total Weeks of Intervention									Site Total
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks	
SITE 731	3	17	8	12	37	34	37	4	7	159
SITE 732	25	27	18	26	29	45	233	45	42	490
SITE 733	3	10	6	10	26	24	29	11	4	123
SITE 734	4	7	8	8	14	16	21	2	2	82
SITE 735	2	3	2	7	9	7	20	1	3	54
SITE 736	5	7	6	8	16	31	16	2	2	93
SITE 737	5	5	2	6	9	26	31	6	1	91
SITE 738	6	2	4	6	16	23	36	10	4	107
SITE 739	8	12	14	3	18	24	47	1	4	131
SITE 831	4	4	5	8	16	17	8	1	1	64
SITE 931	3	6	4	4	10	8	28	0	1	64
SITE 932	2	7	5	5	4	13	60	6	7	109
SITE 933	6	4	1	2	10	13	19	4	5	64
SITE 934	0	1	6	2	11	12	18	4	0	54
SITE 935	3	5	1	5	10	29	49	1	1	104
SITE 936	2	6	3	5	9	9	21	3	5	63
State Total	81	123	93	117	244	331	673	101	89	1,852

Table 9
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	127	77.4%	36	22.0%	1	.6%	164
	* SITE TOTAL *	127	77.4%	36	22.0%	1	.6%	164
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	256	83.1%	43	14.0%	9	2.9%	308
	ST LOUIS CITY	161	79.3%	37	18.2%	5	2.5%	203
	* SITE TOTAL *	417	81.6%	80	15.7%	14	2.7%	511
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	BOONE	15	60.0%	9	36.0%	1	4.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	26
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	RANDOLPH	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	WARREN	19	82.6%	4	17.4%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	76.0%	29	23.2%	1	.8%	125
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	.0%	19
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CHARITON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LINN	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	LIVINGSTON	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	PUTNAM	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	80.7%	16	18.2%	1	1.1%	88
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	DENT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	13
	IRON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PHELPS	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	PULASKI	8	88.9%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	81.7%	9	15.0%	2	3.3%	60
SITE 736	BATES	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	BENTON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	CAMDEN	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	.0%	14
	MILLER	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	9
	MONTEAU	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	74.2%	25	25.8%	0	.0%	97
SITE 737	BARTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	CEDAR	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	29	82.9%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	35
	MCDONALD	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	15
	NEWTON	17	77.3%	4	18.2%	1	4.5%	22
	VERNON	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	80.0%	14	15.6%	4	4.4%	90

Table 9 (continued)
Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status
During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	CHRISTIAN	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	9
	DOUGLAS	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	LAWRENCE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	TANEY	35	79.5%	8	18.2%	1	2.3%	44
	WRIGHT	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	79.0%	21	20.0%	1	1.0%	105
SITE 739	GREENE	96	76.2%	24	19.0%	6	4.8%	126
	* SITE TOTAL *	96	76.2%	24	19.0%	6	4.8%	126
SITE 831	LINCOLN	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	13
	MONROE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	18	75.0%	6	25.0%	0	.0%	24
	* SITE TOTAL *	46	70.8%	19	29.2%	0	.0%	65
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	7
	MACON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHELBY	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	46	79.3%	10	17.2%	2	3.4%	58
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	68	81.0%	15	17.9%	1	1.2%	84
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	77.4%	23	21.7%	1	.9%	106
SITE 933	CASS	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	COOPER	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	8
	JOHNSON	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PETTIS	20	71.4%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	52	78.8%	12	18.2%	2	3.0%	66
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	CLAY	20	95.2%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	21
	LAFAYETTE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	86.3%	7	13.7%	0	.0%	51
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	74.2%	6	19.4%	2	6.5%	31
	MADISON	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	PERRY	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	0	.0%	30
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	0	.0%	11
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	74.1%	24	22.2%	4	3.7%	108
SITE 936	BUTLER	12	70.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	17
	DUNKLIN	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	11
	RIPLEY	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	STODDARD	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	74.2%	14	21.2%	3	4.5%	66
STATE TOTAL		1,481	78.5%	363	19.2%	42	2.2%	1,886

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010.

Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2010

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30th may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1st. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

Table 2 Reasons At-risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2010

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
 - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
 - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
 - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
 - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
 - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
 - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
 - 16 Unable to Contact Family
 - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
 - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
 - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
 - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
 - 22 Court Not in Agreement
 - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
 - 24 Referral was Done in Error

Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2010.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of at-risk and not at-risk children from the accepted families.

Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2010

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)

Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Exit Status During FY 2010

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
 - Child Ran Away (12)
 - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
 - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
 - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
 - In Residential (17)
 - With Division of Youth Services (18)
 - In Juvenile Justice (19)
 - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
 - Can't Locate Family (11)
 - Information Not Available (21)

Intensive In-home Services

Heads of Household Served
During FY 2010

Table 10
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	4	2.5%	75	47.2%	51	32.1%	23	14.5%	4	2.5%	1	.6%	1	.6%	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.5%	75	47.2%	51	32.1%	23	14.5%	4	2.5%	1	.6%	1	.6%	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5	1.7%	66	22.0%	115	38.3%	75	25.0%	29	9.7%	8	2.7%	2	.7%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	11	5.8%	64	33.7%	64	33.7%	30	15.8%	14	7.4%	3	1.6%	4	2.1%	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	3.3%	130	26.5%	179	36.5%	105	21.4%	43	8.8%	11	2.2%	6	1.2%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	1	4.0%	8	32.0%	9	36.0%	5	20.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	1	5.3%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	1	4.0%	10	40.0%	6	24.0%	6	24.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	7	58.3%	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	5	21.7%	10	43.5%	6	26.1%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.3%	44	35.8%	37	30.1%	29	23.6%	8	6.5%	1	.8%	0	.0%	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	9	50.0%	7	38.9%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	5	45.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.4%	37	45.1%	29	35.4%	8	9.8%	5	6.1%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.9%	21	38.9%	20	37.0%	5	9.3%	6	11.1%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	8	47.1%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.3%	24	25.8%	31	33.3%	24	25.8%	8	8.6%	2	2.2%	0	.0%	93
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.8%	16	44.4%	9	25.0%	5	13.9%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	4	28.6%	8	57.1%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	1	4.5%	7	31.8%	12	54.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	VERNON	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.2%	32	35.2%	37	40.7%	12	13.2%	7	7.7%	1	1.1%	0	.0%	91

Table 10 (continued)
Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	7	15.9%	22	50.0%	10	22.7%	2	4.5%	3	6.8%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
* SITE TOTAL *		3	2.8%	30	28.0%	42	39.3%	20	18.7%	7	6.5%	5	4.7%	0	.0%	107
SITE 739	GREENE	10	7.6%	63	48.1%	45	34.4%	10	7.6%	1	.8%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	7.6%	63	48.1%	45	34.4%	10	7.6%	1	.8%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	6	35.3%	3	17.6%	4	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	1	4.0%	3	12.0%	12	48.0%	8	32.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.7%	13	20.3%	25	39.1%	15	23.4%	7	10.9%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	4	23.5%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	1	11.1%	0	.0%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.6%	14	21.9%	30	46.9%	12	18.8%	3	4.7%	3	4.7%	1	1.6%	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	17	19.5%	35	40.2%	19	21.8%	12	13.8%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.8%	23	21.1%	43	39.5%	25	22.9%	12	11.0%	3	2.8%	1	.9%	109
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	3	18.8%	11	68.8%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	9	32.1%	10	35.7%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.7%	11	17.2%	29	45.3%	16	25.0%	4	6.3%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	0	.0%	6	23.1%	13	50.0%	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	15	27.8%	26	48.1%	8	14.8%	3	5.6%	2	3.7%	0	.0%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	7.4%	10	37.0%	12	44.4%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	3	9.1%	7	21.2%	15	45.5%	4	12.1%	4	12.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	10.0%	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	4.8%	33	31.7%	47	45.2%	13	12.5%	6	5.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	7	46.7%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.6%	27	42.9%	28	44.4%	4	6.3%	3	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	63
STATE TOTAL		61	3.3%	592	32.0%	699	37.7%	329	17.8%	127	6.9%	34	1.8%	10	.5%	1,852

Table 11
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	138	86.8%	21	13.2%	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	138	86.8%	21	13.2%	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	264	88.0%	36	12.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	176	92.6%	14	7.4%	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	440	89.8%	50	10.2%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	BOONE	22	88.0%	3	12.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	19
	COLE	20	80.0%	5	20.0%	25
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	12
	WARREN	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	97	78.9%	26	21.1%	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	18
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	LINN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	LIVINGSTON	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	78.0%	18	22.0%	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	11
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PELPS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	87.0%	7	13.0%	54
SITE 736	BATES	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	BENTON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	CAMDEN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	DALLAS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	LACLEDE	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	MILLER	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MONITEAU	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	MORGAN	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	ST CLAIR	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WEBSTER	17	100.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	79	84.9%	14	15.1%	93
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	33	91.7%	3	8.3%	36
	MCDONALD	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	14
	NEWTON	19	86.4%	3	13.6%	22
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	91.2%	8	8.8%	91

Table 11 (continued)
Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	DOUGLAS	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
	LAWRENCE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	TANEY	33	75.0%	11	25.0%	44
	WRIGHT	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	77.6%	24	22.4%	107
SITE 739	GREENE	120	91.6%	11	8.4%	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	120	91.6%	11	8.4%	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	17
	MARION	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	MONROE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	PIKE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	17	68.0%	8	32.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	68.8%	20	31.3%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	12	70.6%	5	29.4%	17
	CLARK	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	KNOX	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	MACON	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	SCHUYLER	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	SCOTLAND	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	SHELBY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	73.4%	17	26.6%	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	16
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	63	72.4%	24	27.6%	87
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	75.2%	27	24.8%	109
SITE 933	CASS	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	COOPER	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	JOHNSON	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	16
	PETTIS	25	89.3%	3	10.7%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	51	79.7%	13	20.3%	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	26
	LAFAYETTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	RAY	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	44	81.5%	10	18.5%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	24	88.9%	3	11.1%	27
	MADISON	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	31	93.9%	2	6.1%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	SCOTT	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	WASHINGTON	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	91	87.5%	13	12.5%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
	DUNKLIN	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	PEMISCOT	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	RIPLEY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	STODDARD	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	51	81.0%	12	19.0%	63
STATE TOTAL		1,561	84.3%	291	15.7%	1,852

Table 12
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	93	58.5%	53	33.3%	2	1.3%	1	.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	93	58.5%	53	33.3%	2	1.3%	1	.6%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	103	34.3%	184	61.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY	28	14.7%	155	81.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	131	26.7%	339	69.2%	0	.0%	1	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	17	68.0%	6	24.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	17	89.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	20	80.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	20	87.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	102	82.9%	12	9.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	17	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	74	90.2%	2	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	53	98.1%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	92	98.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	34	94.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	20	90.9%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
	VERNON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	95.6%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	0	.0%

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	1	.6%	9	5.7%	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.6%	9	5.7%	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	4.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	3.7%	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	19	3.9%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	0	.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	19
	COLE	0	.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.6%	6	4.9%	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	1.2%	5	6.1%	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.1%	93
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	22
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	3.3%	91

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	43	97.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	102	95.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	124	94.7%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	124	94.7%	4	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	14	82.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	20	80.0%	4	16.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	84.4%	5	7.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	95.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	82	94.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	104	95.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	24	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	55	85.9%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	1	1.6%
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	23	88.5%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	RAY	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	87.0%	4	7.4%	1	1.9%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	23	85.2%	4	14.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	32	97.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	91.3%	7	6.7%	1	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	74.6%	16	25.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		1,321	71.3%	445	24.0%	5	.3%	4	.2%

Table 12 (continued)
Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	0	.0%	1	2.3%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.9%	4	3.7%	107
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.3%	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.3%	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	1.6%	4	6.3%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	4.7%	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	5.7%	87
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	4.6%	109
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	15.4%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	16
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	9.4%	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.7%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	63
STATE TOTAL		0	.0%	6	.3%	71	3.8%	1,852

Table 13
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	35	22.0%	14	8.8%	4	2.5%	0	.0%	1	.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	22.0%	14	8.8%	4	2.5%	0	.0%	1	.6%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	32	10.7%	44	14.7%	10	3.3%	3	1.0%	4	1.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY	50	26.3%	24	12.6%	4	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	82	16.7%	68	13.9%	14	2.9%	3	.6%	4	.8%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	6	24.0%	5	20.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	3	12.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	15.4%	16	13.0%	4	3.3%	1	.8%	1	.8%
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVIESS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	2	18.2%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	12.2%	9	11.0%	4	4.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	16.7%	8	14.8%	2	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
	MILLER	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	16.1%	11	11.8%	2	2.2%	0	.0%	2	2.2%
SITE 737	BARTON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	9	25.0%	5	13.9%	2	5.6%	0	.0%	1	2.8%
	MCDONALD	5	35.7%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	26.4%	11	12.1%	3	3.3%	0	.0%	2	2.2%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	3	1.9%	6	3.8%	2	1.3%	13	8.2%	81	50.9%	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.9%	6	3.8%	2	1.3%	13	8.2%	81	50.9%	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	1.0%	7	2.3%	0	.0%	46	15.3%	151	50.3%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	1.1%	5	2.6%	1	.5%	17	8.9%	87	45.8%	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	1.0%	12	2.4%	1	.2%	63	12.9%	238	48.6%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	7	70.0%	10
	BOONE	0	.0%	3	12.0%	0	.0%	4	16.0%	4	16.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	13	68.4%	19
	COLE	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	1	4.0%	15	60.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	12
	WARREN	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	15	65.2%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.8%	7	5.7%	0	.0%	11	8.9%	63	51.2%	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	16.7%	9	50.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LINN	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	2.4%	5	6.1%	1	1.2%	5	6.1%	46	56.1%	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	11
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	38.5%	13
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	8
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	5.6%	1	1.9%	5	9.3%	26	48.1%	54
SITE 736	BATES	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	33.3%	12
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	8	61.5%	13
	MILLER	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WEBSTER	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	8	47.1%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.3%	10	10.8%	0	.0%	2	2.2%	47	50.5%	93
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	2	5.6%	15	41.7%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	2	14.3%	0	.0%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	14
	NEWTON	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	36.4%	22
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3.3%	5	5.5%	1	1.1%	7	7.7%	35	38.5%	91

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL TIME		LESS THAN FULL TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT-NOT EMPLOYED	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	5	11.4%	1	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.3%
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	12.2%	6	5.6%	2	1.9%	0	.0%	1	.9%
SITE 739	GREENE	26	19.8%	9	6.9%	1	.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	19.8%	9	6.9%	1	.8%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	18.8%	10	15.6%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	4	23.5%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	17.2%	16	25.0%	4	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	15	17.2%	12	13.8%	2	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	15.6%	14	12.8%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	1	.9%
SITE 933	CASS	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	7.8%	14	21.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	5.6%	5	9.3%	3	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	14.8%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	5	15.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	13.5%	5	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	13.3%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%
	DUNKLIN	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	2	18.2%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	14.3%	5	7.9%	4	6.3%	0	.0%	1	1.6%
STATE TOTAL		304	16.4%	221	11.9%	51	2.8%	4	.2%	14	.8%

Table 13 (continued)
Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER- NOT IN LABOR FORCE		DISABLED-NOT IN LABOR FORCE		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	8
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	11
	DOUGLAS	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	10
	TANEY	1	2.3%	3	6.8%	1	2.3%	6	13.6%	26	59.1%	44
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	4.7%	12	11.2%	2	1.9%	12	11.2%	54	50.5%	107
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	7	5.3%	2	1.5%	12	9.2%	73	55.7%	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	7	5.3%	2	1.5%	12	9.2%	73	55.7%	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	35.3%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	54.5%	11
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	24.0%	13	52.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.1%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	8	12.5%	29	45.3%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	17
	CLARK	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	8
	MACON	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	44.4%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.1%	4	6.3%	0	.0%	3	4.7%	24	37.5%	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	12	75.0%	16
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	2	2.3%	5	5.7%	0	.0%	8	9.2%	43	49.4%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.8%	5	4.6%	0	.0%	9	8.3%	58	53.2%	109
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%	13
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	7
	JOHNSON	2	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	62.5%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	3	10.7%	12	42.9%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	4.7%	5	7.8%	0	.0%	4	6.3%	33	51.6%	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLAY	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	18	69.2%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	8
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.7%	3	5.6%	0	.0%	8	14.8%	30	55.6%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	16	59.3%	27
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	9	81.8%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	33.3%	17	51.5%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	6
	WASHINGTON	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.0%	7	6.7%	0	.0%	20	19.2%	57	54.8%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	4	26.7%	5	33.3%	15
	DUNKLIN	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	15
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	11
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.2%	9	14.3%	0	.0%	11	17.5%	22	34.9%	63
STATE TOTAL		38	2.1%	101	5.5%	10	.5%	193	10.4%	916	49.5%	1,852

Table 14
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	59	37.1%	28	17.6%	30	18.9%	24	15.1%
	* SITE TOTAL *	59	37.1%	28	17.6%	30	18.9%	24	15.1%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	102	34.0%	43	14.3%	29	9.7%	54	18.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	68	35.8%	36	18.9%	29	15.3%	25	13.2%
	* SITE TOTAL *	170	34.7%	79	16.1%	58	11.8%	79	16.1%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%
	BOONE	9	36.0%	4	16.0%	6	24.0%	3	12.0%
	CALLAWAY	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%
	COLE	7	28.0%	6	24.0%	4	16.0%	5	20.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	3	25.0%
	WARREN	4	17.4%	0	.0%	8	34.8%	2	8.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	25.2%	21	17.1%	25	20.3%	18	14.6%
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%
	BUCHANAN	7	38.9%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	CHARITON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%
	LIVINGSTON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	14.6%	12	14.6%	14	17.1%	28	34.1%
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	7	63.6%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%
	IRON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	MARIES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%
	PULASKI	0	.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	38.9%	9	16.7%	14	25.9%	4	7.4%
SITE 736	BATES	3	25.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
	BENTON	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
	CAMDEN	1	11.1%	0	.0%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%
	MILLER	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%
	MONITEAU	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	1	14.3%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	WEBSTER	3	17.6%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	4	23.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	17.2%	23	24.7%	16	17.2%	18	19.4%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	7	19.4%	11	30.6%	6	16.7%	7	19.4%
	MCDONALD	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%	2	14.3%
	NEWTON	9	40.9%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	5	22.7%
	VERNON	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	24.2%	24	26.4%	14	15.4%	15	16.5%

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	5.7%	4	2.5%	5	3.1%	0	.0%	159
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	5.7%	4	2.5%	5	3.1%	0	.0%	159
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	34	11.3%	26	8.7%	12	4.0%	0	.0%	300
	ST LOUIS CITY	22	11.6%	8	4.2%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	190
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	11.4%	34	6.9%	14	2.9%	0	.0%	490
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	BOONE	1	4.0%	0	.0%	2	8.0%	0	.0%	25
	CALLAWAY	7	36.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	WARREN	5	21.7%	3	13.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	16.3%	4	3.3%	4	3.3%	0	.0%	123
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	BUCHANAN	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CHARITON	0	.0%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DAVIESS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	LINN	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	3.7%	8	9.8%	5	6.1%	0	.0%	82
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	HOWELL	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	IRON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	PULASKI	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	REYNOLDS	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	7.4%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	12
	BENTON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LACLEDE	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	MILLER	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	MORGAN	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	WEBSTER	1	5.9%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	7.5%	10	10.8%	3	3.2%	0	.0%	93
SITE 737	BARTON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CEDAR	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	36
	MCDONALD	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	VERNON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	9.9%	5	5.5%	2	2.2%	0	.0%	91

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000		\$5,000-\$9,999		\$10,000-\$14,999		\$15,000-\$24,999	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%
	CHRISTIAN	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%
	DOUGLAS	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%
	LAWRENCE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	8	18.2%	9	20.5%	9	20.5%	8	18.2%
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	19.6%	23	21.5%	22	20.6%	20	18.7%
SITE 739	GREENE	80	61.1%	14	10.7%	11	8.4%	15	11.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	61.1%	14	10.7%	11	8.4%	15	11.5%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	4	23.5%
	MARION	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%
	MONROE	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%
	RALLS	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	ST CHARLES	4	16.0%	2	8.0%	4	16.0%	5	20.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	17.2%	8	12.5%	5	7.8%	18	28.1%
SITE 931	ADAIR	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	4	23.5%	2	11.8%
	CLARK	4	44.4%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
	LEWIS	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	3	33.3%
	SCHUYLER	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	54.7%	7	10.9%	9	14.1%	7	10.9%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	8	50.0%
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%
	JEFFERSON	8	9.2%	8	9.2%	7	8.0%	17	19.5%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	11.0%	10	9.2%	9	8.3%	27	24.8%
SITE 933	CASS	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%
	COOPER	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%
	JOHNSON	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	4	25.0%
	PETTIS	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	10	35.7%	4	14.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	15.6%	4	6.3%	18	28.1%	14	21.9%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
	CLAY	5	19.2%	6	23.1%	4	15.4%	7	26.9%
	LAFAYETTE	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%
	RAY	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%
	SALINE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	16.7%	8	14.8%	11	20.4%	14	25.9%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	3	11.1%	7	25.9%	11	40.7%	3	11.1%
	MADISON	2	25.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%
	ST FRANCOIS	4	12.1%	5	15.2%	9	27.3%	8	24.2%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	1	16.7%	0	.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%
	WASHINGTON	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	13.5%	18	17.3%	33	31.7%	17	16.3%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	12	80.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	6	40.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	6	54.5%
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%
	STODDARD	1	16.7%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.2%	3	4.8%	12	19.0%	37	58.7%
STATE TOTAL		525	28.3%	291	15.7%	301	16.3%	355	19.2%

Table 14 (continued)
Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2010

		\$25,000-\$34,999		\$35,000-\$49,999		\$50,000 >		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE	COUNTY	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	CHRISTIAN	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	TANEY	5	11.4%	3	6.8%	2	4.5%	0	.0%	44
	WRIGHT	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	10.3%	6	5.6%	4	3.7%	0	.0%	107
SITE 739	GREENE	6	4.6%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%	131
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	4.6%	4	3.1%	1	.8%	0	.0%	131
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	17.6%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	17
	MARION	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	MONROE	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	ST CHARLES	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	5	20.0%	0	.0%	25
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	12.5%	7	10.9%	7	10.9%	0	.0%	64
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	CLARK	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	SHELBY	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	7.8%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	64
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	1	6.3%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	GASCONADE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	JEFFERSON	23	26.4%	11	12.6%	13	14.9%	0	.0%	87
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	23.9%	11	10.1%	14	12.8%	0	.0%	109
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	COOPER	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JOHNSON	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	PETTIS	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	9.4%	9	14.1%	3	4.7%	0	.0%	64
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	2	7.7%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PLATTE	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	5.6%	8	14.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MADISON	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST FRANCOIS	3	9.1%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	WASHINGTON	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	5.8%	13	12.5%	3	2.9%	0	.0%	104
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DUNKLIN	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	NEW MADRID	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	STODDARD	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	11.1%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	63
STATE TOTAL		186	10.0%	126	6.8%	68	3.7%	0	.0%	1,852

Table 15
Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

	Number	Percent
Parenting Skills Problems	1,519	81.9%
Parent/Child Conflict	919	49.5%
Communication	840	45.3%
Mental Health Problems	663	35.7%
Child Neglect	598	32.2%
Emotional Problems	500	27.0%
Severe Financial Problems	312	16.8%
Physical Abuse	309	16.7%
Drug Abuse	252	13.6%
Transportation	205	11.1%
Marital Conflict	197	10.6%
Medical Illness/Disability	181	9.8%
School Problems	175	9.4%
Physical Violence	169	9.1%
Shelter	141	7.6%
Alcohol Abuse	111	6.0%
Delinquent Behavior	99	5.3%
Homelessness	92	5.0%
Developmental Disability	72	3.9%
Sexual Abuse	63	3.4%
Criminal Behavior	60	3.2%
Runaway	39	2.1%
Suicide Attempts	38	2.0%
Pregnancy	37	2.0%
Prostitution	2	.1%

Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

At-risk Children Served During FY 2010

Table 16
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		>= 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	49	13.5%	133	36.6%	134	36.9%	47	12.9%	363
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	13.5%	133	36.6%	134	36.9%	47	12.9%	363
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	18	3.4%	132	24.8%	200	37.6%	182	34.2%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	50	13.0%	107	27.8%	131	34.0%	97	25.2%	385
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	7.4%	239	26.1%	331	36.1%	279	30.4%	917
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	9.1%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%	8	36.4%	22
	BOONE	8	16.0%	20	40.0%	11	22.0%	11	22.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	9	26.5%	14	41.2%	11	32.4%	34
	COLE	8	15.7%	24	47.1%	13	25.5%	6	11.8%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	7	70.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	3	12.0%	11	44.0%	7	28.0%	4	16.0%	25
	WARREN	3	7.5%	10	25.0%	7	17.5%	20	50.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	10.3%	83	35.6%	59	25.3%	67	28.8%	233
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	3	23.1%	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	13
	BUCHANAN	3	8.1%	17	45.9%	10	27.0%	7	18.9%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	11
	CLINTON	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	4	28.6%	7	50.0%	3	21.4%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	12	52.2%	8	34.8%	3	13.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	1	4.3%	10	43.5%	10	43.5%	2	8.7%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	NODAWAY	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	10
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	7.2%	68	37.8%	69	38.3%	30	16.7%	180
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	7	36.8%	6	31.6%	6	31.6%	19
	DENT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	14	48.3%	9	31.0%	6	20.7%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	3	16.7%	5	27.8%	6	33.3%	4	22.2%	18
	PULASKI	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%	4	26.7%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	7.3%	42	38.2%	35	31.8%	25	22.7%	110
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	6	27.3%	7	31.8%	9	40.9%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	5	25.0%	13	65.0%	2	10.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	LACLEDE	2	7.4%	7	25.9%	9	33.3%	9	33.3%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	3	21.4%	5	35.7%	6	42.9%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	MORGAN	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	POLK	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	WEBSTER	3	10.3%	6	20.7%	10	34.5%	10	34.5%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	5.6%	34	21.3%	61	38.1%	56	35.0%	160
SITE 737	BARTON	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	13
	CEDAR	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	12	17.9%	28	41.8%	15	22.4%	12	17.9%	67
	MCDONALD	3	9.4%	6	18.8%	12	37.5%	11	34.4%	32
	NEWTON	6	12.2%	23	46.9%	14	28.6%	6	12.2%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	4	33.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	25	13.8%	70	38.7%	49	27.1%	37	20.4%	181

Table 16 (continued)
Age of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		>= 13		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	10	50.0%	2	10.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	4	23.5%	6	35.3%	4	23.5%	3	17.6%	17
	LAWRENCE	3	15.8%	7	36.8%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	STONE	3	9.4%	7	21.9%	16	50.0%	6	18.8%	32
	TANEY	6	7.1%	16	18.8%	34	40.0%	29	34.1%	85
	WRIGHT	1	5.9%	6	35.3%	3	17.6%	7	41.2%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	10.7%	49	23.8%	77	37.4%	58	28.2%	206
SITE 739	GREENE	56	21.4%	89	34.0%	92	35.1%	25	9.5%	262
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	21.4%	89	34.0%	92	35.1%	25	9.5%	262
SITE 831	LINCOLN	4	13.8%	8	27.6%	7	24.1%	10	34.5%	29
	MARION	2	11.1%	6	33.3%	8	44.4%	2	11.1%	18
	MONROE	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	6
	ST CHARLES	7	21.2%	3	9.1%	15	45.5%	8	24.2%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	13.7%	22	21.6%	39	38.2%	27	26.5%	102
SITE 931	ADAIR	2	6.7%	6	20.0%	12	40.0%	10	33.3%	30
	CLARK	2	15.4%	0	.0%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	LEWIS	1	5.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	10	50.0%	20
	MACON	2	10.5%	4	21.1%	8	42.1%	5	26.3%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	5	31.3%	10	62.5%	1	6.3%	16
	SCOTLAND	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8
	SHELBY	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	7.7%	25	21.4%	46	39.3%	37	31.6%	117
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	3	8.3%	15	41.7%	16	44.4%	2	5.6%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	JEFFERSON	7	4.0%	51	28.8%	66	37.3%	53	29.9%	177
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	5.0%	69	31.2%	84	38.0%	57	25.8%	221
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	5	18.5%	14	51.9%	8	29.6%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	11
	JOHNSON	4	11.4%	8	22.9%	15	42.9%	8	22.9%	35
	PETTIS	2	4.3%	12	25.5%	14	29.8%	19	40.4%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	5.0%	27	22.5%	49	40.8%	38	31.7%	120
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CLAY	4	7.4%	14	25.9%	28	51.9%	8	14.8%	54
	LAFAYETTE	1	7.1%	6	42.9%	5	35.7%	2	14.3%	14
	PLATTE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	6	54.5%	11
	RAY	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	7.9%	29	28.7%	44	43.6%	20	19.8%	101
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	10.7%	18	32.1%	21	37.5%	11	19.6%	56
	MADISON	3	20.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	9	30.0%	18	60.0%	3	10.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	5	10.2%	7	14.3%	22	44.9%	15	30.6%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTT	2	14.3%	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	14
	WASHINGTON	2	7.7%	10	38.5%	7	26.9%	7	26.9%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	10.2%	61	29.8%	81	39.5%	42	20.5%	205
SITE 936	BUTLER	3	8.8%	13	38.2%	14	41.2%	4	11.8%	34
	DUNKLIN	1	2.7%	9	24.3%	19	51.4%	8	21.6%	37
	NEW MADRID	2	10.0%	9	45.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	3	10.0%	14	46.7%	10	33.3%	3	10.0%	30
	RIPLEY	2	9.1%	2	9.1%	13	59.1%	5	22.7%	22
	STODDARD	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	8.5%	49	32.0%	65	42.5%	26	17.0%	153
STATE TOTAL		356	9.8%	1,089	30.0%	1,315	36.2%	871	24.0%	3,631

Table 17
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	171	47.1%	192	52.9%	363
	* SITE TOTAL *	171	47.1%	192	52.9%	363
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	258	48.5%	274	51.5%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	182	47.3%	203	52.7%	385
	* SITE TOTAL *	440	48.0%	477	52.0%	917
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	14	63.6%	8	36.4%	22
	BOONE	22	44.0%	28	56.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	15	44.1%	19	55.9%	34
	COLE	34	66.7%	17	33.3%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	12	48.0%	13	52.0%	25
	WARREN	20	50.0%	20	50.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	124	53.2%	109	46.8%	233
SITE 734	ANDREW	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	BUCHANAN	11	29.7%	26	70.3%	37
	CALDWELL	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7
	CHARITON	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	CLINTON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	DAVISS	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	DE KALB	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	GRUNDY	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
	HARRISON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	LINN	9	39.1%	14	60.9%	23
	LIVINGSTON	8	34.8%	15	65.2%	23
	MERCER	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	NODAWAY	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	SULLIVAN	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	WORTH	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	40.0%	108	60.0%	180
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	3	100.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
	DENT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HOWELL	17	58.6%	12	41.4%	29
	IRON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	MARIES	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	OREGON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	PHELPS	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	18
	PULASKI	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	TEXAS	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WAYNE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	57	51.8%	53	48.2%	110
SITE 736	BATES	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	22
	BENTON	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10
	CAMDEN	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20
	DALLAS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	HENRY	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HICKORY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	27
	MILLER	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	14
	MONITEAU	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	MORGAN	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	POLK	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12
	ST CLAIR	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	WEBSTER	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	80	50.0%	80	50.0%	160
SITE 737	BARTON	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	CEDAR	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	DADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	34	50.7%	33	49.3%	67
	MCDONALD	17	53.1%	15	46.9%	32
	NEWTON	22	44.9%	27	55.1%	49
	VERNON	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	48.1%	94	51.9%	181

Table 17 (continued)
Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	17
	LAWRENCE	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	16	50.0%	16	50.0%	32
	TANEY	49	57.6%	36	42.4%	85
	WRIGHT	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	110	53.4%	96	46.6%	206
SITE 739	GREENE	117	44.7%	145	55.3%	262
	* SITE TOTAL *	117	44.7%	145	55.3%	262
SITE 831	LINCOLN	15	51.7%	14	48.3%	29
	MARION	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18
	MONROE	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9
	PIKE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	RALLS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	ST CHARLES	15	45.5%	18	54.5%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	47.1%	54	52.9%	102
SITE 931	ADAIR	11	36.7%	19	63.3%	30
	CLARK	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	13
	KNOX	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	LEWIS	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20
	MACON	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	19
	SCHUYLER	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	SCOTLAND	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	SHELBY	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	51	43.6%	66	56.4%	117
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	22	61.1%	14	38.9%	36
	GASCONADE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	JEFFERSON	89	50.3%	88	49.7%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	115	52.0%	106	48.0%	221
SITE 933	CASS	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	27
	COOPER	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	JOHNSON	18	51.4%	17	48.6%	35
	PETTIS	20	42.6%	27	57.4%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	46.7%	64	53.3%	120
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	CLAY	26	48.1%	28	51.9%	54
	LAFAYETTE	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
	PLATTE	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	RAY	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SALINE	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	47.5%	53	52.5%	101
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	50.0%	28	50.0%	56
	MADISON	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	21	42.9%	28	57.1%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SCOTT	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
	WASHINGTON	8	30.8%	18	69.2%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	100	48.8%	105	51.2%	205
SITE 936	BUTLER	10	29.4%	24	70.6%	34
	DUNKLIN	18	48.6%	19	51.4%	37
	NEW MADRID	13	65.0%	7	35.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	20	66.7%	10	33.3%	30
	RIPLEY	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	22
	STODDARD	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	50.3%	76	49.7%	153
STATE TOTAL		1,753	48.3%	1,878	51.7%	3,631

Table 18
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	218	60.1%	132	36.4%	1	.3%	1	.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	218	60.1%	132	36.4%	1	.3%	1	.3%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	162	30.5%	364	68.4%	0	.0%	3	.6%
	ST LOUIS CITY	41	10.6%	339	88.1%	0	.0%	2	.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	203	22.1%	703	76.7%	0	.0%	5	.5%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	35	70.0%	14	28.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	32	94.1%	2	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	44	86.3%	6	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	24	96.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	31	77.5%	6	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	199	85.4%	29	12.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	34	91.9%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVIESS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	22	95.7%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	21	91.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	169	93.9%	3	1.7%	1	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	12	80.0%	2	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	107	97.3%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	22	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	27	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	27	93.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	157	98.1%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	64	95.5%	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	45	91.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	171	94.5%	5	2.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	5	1.4%	6	1.7%	363
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	5	1.4%	6	1.7%	363
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	1	.2%	2	.4%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	.0%	1	.3%	2	.5%	385
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	.2%	4	.4%	917
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	0	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	0	.0%	3	7.5%	0	.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	4	1.7%	1	.4%	233
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	7
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	5	2.8%	2	1.1%	180
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.9%	0	.0%	110
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.9%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.3%	160
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	0	.0%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	0	.0%	4	8.2%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	5	2.8%	0	.0%	181

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AM		NATIVE AM		ASIAN	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	84	98.8%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	16	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	204	99.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	245	93.5%	9	3.4%	2	.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	245	93.5%	9	3.4%	2	.8%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	27	81.8%	4	12.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	88.2%	9	8.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	20	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	114	97.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	36	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	168	94.9%	6	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	211	95.5%	7	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	25	92.6%	2	7.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	28	80.0%	2	5.7%	0	.0%	1	2.9%
	PETTIS	45	95.7%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	90.0%	5	4.2%	1	.8%	1	.8%
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	44	81.5%	9	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	13	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	86.1%	10	9.9%	1	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	48	85.7%	6	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	30	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	48	98.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	21	80.8%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	185	90.2%	16	7.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	30	88.2%	3	8.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	23	62.2%	14	37.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	18	90.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	7	23.3%	22	73.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	21	95.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	109	71.2%	42	27.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		2,577	71.0%	974	26.8%	6	.2%	7	.2%

Table 18 (continued)
Race of At-risk Children Accepted During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NAT HI PACI		MULTI RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.5%	206
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	262
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	262
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	102
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	2.6%	117
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.7%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.4%	221
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	3	8.6%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	35
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.5%	0	.0%	2	1.7%	120
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	3	3.0%	0	.0%	101
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	7.7%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	2.0%	205
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	34
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.7%	1	.7%	153
STATE TOTAL		3	.1%	31	.9%	33	.9%	3,631

Table 19
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	336	92.6%	9	2.5%	2	.6%	1	.3%	1	.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	336	92.6%	9	2.5%	2	.6%	1	.3%	1	.3%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	505	94.9%	11	2.1%	2	.4%	3	.6%	2	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	369	95.8%	9	2.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	874	95.3%	20	2.2%	2	.2%	4	.4%	2	.2%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	47	94.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	34	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	50	98.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	25	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	38	95.0%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	223	95.7%	6	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	36	97.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVIESS	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	23	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	172	95.6%	4	2.2%	1	.6%	1	.6%	1	.6%
SITE 735	CARTER	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	28	96.6%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	6	85.7%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	15	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	105	95.5%	1	.9%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	19	86.4%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	25	92.6%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	27	93.1%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	140	87.5%	13	8.1%	2	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	12	92.3%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	64	95.5%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	31	96.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	48	98.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	174	96.1%	1	.6%	1	.6%	1	.6%	0	.0%

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	2.5%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%	3	.8%	363
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	2.5%	1	.3%	1	.3%	0	.0%	3	.8%	363
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3	.6%	0	.0%	2	.4%	0	.0%	4	.8%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	1.0%	385
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	.5%	0	.0%	2	.2%	0	.0%	8	.9%	917
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BOONE	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.9%	233
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	180
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PELPHS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	REYNOLDS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	110
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.7%	27
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.3%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.3%	160
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.1%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.6%	181

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	NO SPEC ED CATEGORY		MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY		LEARNING DISABLE ONLY		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY		EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	19	95.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	14	93.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	26	81.3%	1	3.1%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	79	92.9%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	17	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	192	93.2%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	1	.5%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	251	95.8%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	0	.0%	1	.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	251	95.8%	3	1.1%	3	1.1%	0	.0%	1	.4%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	30	90.9%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	1	3.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	97	95.1%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	25	83.3%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%
	CLARK	10	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	19	95.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	106	90.6%	2	1.7%	4	3.4%	1	.9%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	36	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	165	93.2%	1	.6%	5	2.8%	1	.6%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	209	94.6%	1	.5%	5	2.3%	1	.5%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	26	96.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	43	91.5%	2	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%
	* SITE TOTAL *	114	95.0%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.8%
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	54	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	98	97.0%	2	2.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	54	96.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	13	86.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	28	93.3%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	49	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	13	92.9%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	21	80.8%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	193	94.1%	1	.5%	5	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	27	79.4%	1	2.9%	5	14.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	34	91.9%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	18	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	21	95.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	139	90.9%	3	2.0%	6	3.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		3,423	94.3%	72	2.0%	36	1.0%	11	.3%	7	.2%

Table 19 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	OTHER ONLY		DEVELOPMENT-ALLY DISABLE ONLY		ENRICHMENT ONLY		PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY		SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	12.5%	32
	TANEY	2	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.4%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	2.9%	206
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	3	1.1%	262
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	3	1.1%	262
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	MARION	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	102
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	13
	KNOX	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	2	1.1%	0	.0%	3	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	.9%	0	.0%	3	1.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	221
SITE 933	CASS	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	PETTIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.1%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.8%	120
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	101
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.8%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	2.4%	205
SITE 936	BUTLER	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.3%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.3%	153
STATE TOTAL		35	1.0%	4	.1%	7	.2%	0	.0%	36	1.0%	3,631

Table 20
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	.0%	15	4.1%	260	71.6%	14	3.9%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	15	4.1%	260	71.6%	14	3.9%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	13	2.4%	87	16.4%	285	53.6%	5	.9%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	5	1.3%	48	12.5%	212	55.1%	7	1.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	2.0%	135	14.7%	497	54.2%	12	1.3%	0	.0%
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BOONE	0	.0%	4	8.0%	41	82.0%	3	6.0%	0	.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	26	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	0	.0%	0	.0%	40	78.4%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	2	8.0%	0	.0%	18	72.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WARREN	1	2.5%	4	10.0%	31	77.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.3%	9	3.9%	183	78.5%	4	1.7%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	9	69.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	4	10.8%	26	70.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVISS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	21	91.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	22	95.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NODAWAY	1	10.0%	0	.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PUTNAM	2	28.6%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.2%	8	4.4%	144	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	1	5.3%	18	94.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	28	96.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.9%	89	80.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 736	BATES	1	4.5%	0	.0%	18	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	1	5.0%	16	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HICKORY	1	20.0%	0	.0%	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LACLEDE	2	7.4%	0	.0%	21	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	1	7.1%	11	78.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	0	.0%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	5	17.2%	12	41.4%	1	3.4%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.5%	8	5.0%	115	71.9%	1	.6%	0	.0%
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	84.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	0	.0%	3	4.5%	58	86.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	2	6.3%	25	78.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEWTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	45	91.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	3.3%	153	84.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 731	JACKSON	73	20.1%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	363
	* SITE TOTAL *	73	20.1%	1	.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	363
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	120	22.6%	14	2.6%	4	.8%	4	.8%	532
	ST LOUIS CITY	101	26.2%	6	1.6%	1	.3%	5	1.3%	385
	* SITE TOTAL *	221	24.1%	20	2.2%	5	.5%	9	1.0%	917
SITE 733	AUDRAIN	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	22
	BOONE	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	50
	CALLAWAY	6	17.6%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	34
	COLE	10	19.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	HOWARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	MONTGOMERY	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	5	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	25
	WARREN	3	7.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	12.4%	3	1.3%	1	.4%	1	.4%	233
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	BUCHANAN	7	18.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CLINTON	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	GRUNDY	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	LIVINGSTON	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	4	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	PUTNAM	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	SULLIVAN	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	12.8%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	180
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HOWELL	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	IRON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MARIES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	PHELPS	6	33.3%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	9	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	15.5%	2	1.8%	0	.0%	1	.9%	110
SITE 736	BATES	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	BENTON	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAMDEN	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	DALLAS	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	HICKORY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LACLEDE	4	14.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MILLER	2	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	POLK	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WEBSTER	11	37.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	19.4%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	160
SITE 737	BARTON	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	CEDAR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	JASPER	6	9.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
	MCDONALD	5	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	NEWTON	4	8.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	49
	VERNON	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	11.1%	2	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	181

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITAL		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	5.0%	2	10.0%	15	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	5.9%	15	88.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	6	31.6%	12	63.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	0	.0%	2	6.3%	30	93.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	0	.0%	4	4.7%	71	83.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	11.8%	13	76.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.0%	17	8.3%	165	80.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	1	.4%	243	92.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.4%	243	92.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	5	17.2%	18	62.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%
	MARION	1	5.6%	0	.0%	11	61.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CHARLES	1	3.0%	3	9.1%	22	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.9%	8	7.8%	70	68.6%	1	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	1	3.3%	24	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	12	92.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	KNOX	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	1.7%	96	82.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	1	2.8%	24	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON	1	.6%	12	6.8%	140	79.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.5%	14	6.3%	170	76.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	7.4%	20	74.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	4	11.4%	31	88.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PETTIS	0	.0%	2	4.3%	41	87.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	10	8.3%	101	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	0	.0%	2	3.7%	32	59.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	3	21.4%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	1	9.1%	0	.0%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	2	15.4%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.0%	7	6.9%	53	52.5%	4	4.0%	0	.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	52	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	0	.0%	1	6.7%	12	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY	1	3.3%	0	.0%	29	96.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	2	4.1%	3	6.1%	32	65.3%	2	4.1%	0	.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	13	92.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	19	73.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	2.4%	7	3.4%	168	82.0%	2	1.0%	0	.0%
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	23	67.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	6	16.2%	26	70.3%	1	2.7%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	1	3.3%	27	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	1	4.5%	21	95.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	8	5.2%	123	80.4%	1	.7%	0	.0%
STATE TOTAL		41	1.1%	256	7.1%	2,630	72.4%	39	1.1%	0	.0%

Table 20 (continued)
At-risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2010

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
SITE 738	BARRY	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	CHRISTIAN	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	TANEY	8	9.4%	1	1.2%	0	.0%	1	1.2%	85
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	6.8%	7	3.4%	0	.0%	1	.5%	206
SITE 739	GREENE	13	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	1.9%	262
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	1.9%	262
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	29
	MARION	5	27.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	18
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PIKE	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	5	15.2%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	14.7%	1	1.0%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	102
SITE 931	ADAIR	5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	CLARK	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	KNOX	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	LEWIS	6	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	MACON	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTLAND	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	14.5%	1	.9%	0	.0%	1	.9%	117
SITE 932	FRANKLIN	11	30.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	JEFFERSON	22	12.4%	1	.6%	0	.0%	1	.6%	177
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	33	14.9%	2	.9%	0	.0%	1	.5%	221
SITE 933	CASS	4	14.8%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	PETTIS	3	6.4%	1	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	47
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	5.8%	2	1.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	120
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CLAY	20	37.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	LAFAYETTE	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	PLATTE	5	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RAY	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SALINE	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	34.7%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	101
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	56
	MADISON	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PERRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	5	10.2%	5	10.2%	0	.0%	49
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	1	3.8%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	26
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	2.4%	8	3.9%	9	4.4%	1	.5%	205
SITE 936	BUTLER	10	29.4%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	DUNKLIN	4	10.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	PEMISCOT	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	30
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	STODDARD	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	20	13.1%	1	.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	153
STATE TOTAL		573	15.8%	53	1.5%	17	.5%	22	.6%	3,631

Table 21
At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families
Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

	Number	Percent
Parent/Child Conflict	1,581	43.4%
Child Neglect	1,270	34.8%
Communication	1,237	33.9%
Parenting Skills Problems	1,184	32.5%
Emotional Problems	861	23.6%
Mental Health Problems	818	22.4%
School Problems	628	17.2%
Physical Abuse	515	14.1%
Delinquent Behavior	351	9.6%
Physical Violence	276	7.6%
Severe Financial Problems	222	6.1%
Developmental Disability	203	5.6%
Medical Illness/Disability	203	5.6%
Shelter	191	5.2%
Transportation	161	4.4%
Drug Abuse	156	4.3%
Sexual Abuse	154	4.2%
Homelessness	139	3.8%
Runaway	102	2.8%
Marital Conflict	57	1.6%
Alcohol Abuse	56	1.5%
Criminal Behavior	52	1.4%
Suicide Attempts	39	1.1%
Pregnancy	23	.6%

Notes: At-risk Children Served Tables

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

Table 19 At-risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2010

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

Table 21 At-risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-home Services During FY 2010

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.

Intensive In-home Services

Outcomes

During FY 2010

Table 22
Families and At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services
During Fiscal Years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009
(Families and At-risk Children are Unduplicated)

Fiscal Year	Families	At-risk Children
2006	1,734	3,403
2007	1,799	3,640
2008	1,623	3,299
2009	1,527	2,932

Table 23
At-risk Children Exiting IIS by Service Provider

Fiscal Year	Contract		In-house		At-risk Children Exiting
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
2006	2,702	79.40%	701	20.59%	3,403
2007	2,859	78.54%	781	21.45%	3,640
2008	2,484	75.29%	815	24.70%	3,299
2009	2,334	79.60%	598	20.39%	2,932

Table 24
At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider




Table 25

At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services

Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Either During IIS or After IIS Exit

(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After Exit)

Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,961	66	59	42	66	88	65	56	3,403
2007	3,154	57	39	52	89	102	89	58	3,640
2008	2,886	52	45	41	51	103	65	56	3,299
2009	2,601	47	58	27	66	75	58	**	2,932

Contracted Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,365	51	39	32	54	64	49	48	2,702
2007	2,485	42	33	35	67	80	71	46	2,859
2008	2,202	36	34	24	34	71	44	39	2,484
2009	2,103	33	37	22	45	52	42	**	2,334

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	596	15	20	10	12	24	16	8	701
2007	669	15	6	17	22	22	18	12	781
2008	684	16	11	17	17	32	21	17	815
2009	498	14	21	5	21	23	16	**	598

Table 26

At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their IIS exit




Table 27

At-risk Children Exiting IIS

Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 Either During IIS or After IIS Exit
(Based on 1st Exit from IIS for Child During the FY and 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)

Contracted and In-house Providers

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,696	132	157	95	99	107	62	55	3,403
2007	2,800	148	183	90	129	128	104	58	3,640
2008	2,454	151	175	92	140	152	76	59	3,299
2009	2,199	174	155	86	102	138	78	**	2,932

Contracted Providers Only


Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	2,152	104	119	71	80	80	51	45	2,702
2007	2,230	116	137	58	96	107	68	47	2,859
2008	1,896	121	130	55	96	98	45	43	2,484
2009	1,776	123	115	72	78	111	59	**	2,334

In-house Providers Only

Fiscal Year	No LS1	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
2006	544	28	38	24	19	27	11	10	701
2007	570	32	46	32	33	21	36	11	781
2008	558	30	45	37	44	54	31	16	815
2009	423	51	40	14	24	27	19	**	598

Table 28

At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their IIS exit



Notes: Outcomes During FY 2010

All data for this report was compiled during December 2012. At-risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. At the time of the run of this report, FY 2009 is the only fiscal year that did not have enough time to allow a 48 month follow-up of all exits. FY 2006, FY 2007 and FY 2008 have data for follow-ups of 6, 12, 36 and 48 months.

Table 22 Families and At-risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

Table 23 At-risk Children Exiting Intensive In-home Services by Service Provider

- Service providers with codes less than '2000' are considered to be Contracted providers. Service providers with codes '2000' or greater are considered to be In-house providers.